

Using Anonymized Reflection to Teach Ethics: a pilot study

Gaye Kyle

Key words: anonymized reflection; ethical decision making; ethics teaching

Anonymized reflection was employed as an innovative way of teaching ethics in order to enhance students' ability in ethical decision making during a 'Care of the Dying Patient and Family' module. Both qualitative and quantitative data were collected from the first two student cohorts who experienced anonymized reflection ($n\!=\!24$). The themes identified were the richness and relevance of scenarios, small-group work and a team approach to teaching. Students indicated that they preferred this style of teaching. This finding was verified by a postal questionnaire conducted four months later. The conclusions drawn from this study suggest that using anonymized reflection is an effective method for teaching ethics to nurses and indicates that learning about ethical issues in this way reduces uncertainties.

Introduction

In 2004 I attended a Summer School at the University of Surrey, UK, on 'Teaching

Address for correspondence: Gaye Kyle, Bablake House, Letcombe Regis, Wantage, Oxon OX12 9JD, UK. Tel: +44 (0)1235 765810; E-mail: gayekyle@tiscali.co.uk

and their families. ¹ In order to achieve this aim, palliative care requires a solid foundation on which to base clinical and subsequent ethical decision making.

Decision theory is about how people decide to take one kind of action over another.² The theory assumes that people will choose the action they think has the most value for them. However, Quinn ² states that these choices of actions are based on probability rather than certainty. Similarly, ethical decision making is also uncertain, with the added complication that any choice made may lead to an unsatisfactory outcome. The purpose of teaching ethical decision making is to help nurses to practise within uncertainty. Ethical decisions, like other professional decisions, should be informed and based on knowledge. Yet many of the decisions in nursing, especially when caring for dying people, are emotive. These feelings are hard to dismiss, intangible, and often difficult to rationalize. The first step in any ethical decision making is to avoid making an immediate decision that is based solely on an emotional response to a dilemma. The process of critical thinking then follows, which may extricate the relevant details of the dilemma and get to its central concern,

Learning theory to support anonymized reflection

The learning theory that underpins AR is 'situated learning'. Whitehead ¹¹ argues that it is possible to provide students with knowledge in the classroom but this knowledge can then lie dormant if they are unable to use it in a practical sense. This raises the issue of relevance in learning. Brown et al¹² suggest that teaching methods that are defined with fixed concepts, such as theories of ethics, fail to provide students with important insight into either the culture or the needs of members of that culture. If the teaching has no relevance it is unlikely to be useful to the learner. Brown et al¹² proposed a new educational model, 'situated learning', based on the work of Vygotsky ¹³ and Dewey, ¹⁴ placing the acquisition of knowledge in the context of social relationships, but emphasizing that meaningful learning will take place only if it is embedded in the social and physical context within which it will be used.

Situated learning increases intrinsic motivation, which emerges from the desire to understand and to construct meaning.¹⁵ Brown et al¹² suggest that relevant learning is about learning a subject within the context of its culture. Learning about ethics therefore needs to take place within the broader cultural contexts of nursing. It is the students' engagement in authentic activities, guided by experts and interacting with each other, that makes learning relevant.¹⁶

Using real-life situations taken directly from clinical practice is one way to make this happen. ^{6,17} Co-operation among peers leads to a genuine exchange of thoughts and exploration, and assimilation of new ideas. ^{18,19}

There is consensus in the literature that reflection is a dynamic process linking an experience with knowledge. ^{14,20–22} Reflective learning, as a constructive and situated method, helps students to find meaning in an experience, especially an ethical situation, and to contemplate new ways of being and responding. ²² Learning by exploring an experience is not a new concept. ²³ Such an approach to learning is the focus of the work of Dewey, ¹⁴ the first educationalist to write about reflection on an experience.

Reflection promotes critical thinking and can further develop the dynamics of decision making. ²⁴ Critical thinking, through appraisal of situations or experiences (such as ARs), is a necessary process for development and learning to occur. The ideal critical thinker is a nurse who constantly re-evaluates, self-corrects and strives to improve. ²⁵ In summary, AR employs a situated learning approach to ethics through the use of reflective thinking.

Implementing anonymized reflection

The nature of post-qualification educational programmes in the UK means that usually only one nurse from a clinical area is seconded to a course at any given time. Students undertaking the 'Care of the dying patient and family' module therefore come from different clinical areas and bring their the 8.ees in the UK means that usually only one nurse from a clinical area is seconded to a course at any given time.

From the literature on the value of small-group learning, three further themes emerge. These are: students' preference for co-operation and collaboration in the class-room, ^{36–38} group problem solving ³⁹ and mutual search for understanding. ³⁹ All of the above themes seemed compatible with AR and were exactly what I was trying to create through the use of AR as a method for teaching ethical decision making.

In this study, students were given an explanation of AR (Figure 1) together with the documentation to record their reflection (Figure 2) at the end of day 1 of the module.

The students were requested to bring to day 2 of the module the completed stage 1 of their ARs. They were actively encouraged to look at any nursing issues or experience that posed an ethical dilemma. Day 2 of the module was scheduled to be the ethics teaching day and, before starting stage 2 of the AR, a brief facilitated session was provided to give the students a variety of practical guiding frameworks that may be used in different situations. These frameworks enable students to discuss critically their ethical situations in a practical and straightforward way. An example of such a framework is shown in Figure 3.

Stage 1

Question: Think of an ethically unsatisfactory health care scenario in which you were involved as a professional.

Write a brief anonymous discussion of it, including your own role/decision, in about 100 words.

Do not discuss; use upper section of the paper.

Place completed sheet in envelope for random shuffle.

Ctore

Read the account of the scenario.

Write your anonymous view of the account in about 100 words, from an ethical perspective, linking your discussion to a framework.

Do not discuss; use lower section of paper.

Return to the envelope.

Stage 3

Sheets photocopied and distributed to students.

Tutor-led discussion of selected scenarios and comments.

On no account is anyone to identify themselves as either writer or commentator.

Figure 1 Explanation of anonymized shared reflection

Important note: Do not identify yourself or try to identify anyone as the writer of the scenario or as the commentator on one. Please write small but legibly.

Stage 1: Think about an ethically unsatisfactory health care scenario in which you were involved as a professional. Write a **brief anonymous account** of it, including your own role/decisions in about 100 words. (When asked, place in envelope.)

Characterist Read the account above, consider it carefully. Write your anonymous view in about 100 words, from an ethical perspective, trying to link your discussion to one of the frameworks. Do not discuss with others.

Figure 2 Anonymized reflection record

This simple pictorial framework uses the spectrum of 'always and never', illustrated as weighing scales balanced on the context of the problem. An example to illustrate this framework could be truth-telling about a terminally ill patient's diagnosis. Discussion could start with the viewpoint that one never lies to a patient and always tells the truth because truth-telling and disclosure centre on attempts to do good and avoid harm. However, as critical thinking is developed, patients are viewed within the context of illness, culture, age and personal views, which indicates that a movement along the spectrum can be determined, with a possible conclusion that for some cultures one should never tell a patient that he or she is dying because this takes away all hope from the patient. The patient and the family's culture may deem speaking of death as more harmful than the benefits of truth-telling. The ARs identify the problem or situation and then the use of a framework stimulates discussion and develops critical thinking by encouraging a contextual view of the reflection.

The written ARs were then collected and redistributed to students in such a way

The study

The aim of the study was to evaluate the effectiveness of using AR when teaching ethics in the 'Care of the dying patient and family' module. An illuminative evaluative research approach was chosen to interpret AR from both the students' and lecturers' perspective, focusing through the data on what it was about AR that made it significant to student learning. The areas of focus for the study were: the students' and lecturers' perceptions of the ethics teaching in the module; whether students achieved the module learning outcome relating to ethics; the factors that may have affected the teaching and learning of ethics, such as group size, location, tutors' knowledge in the field of ethics and their skills in facilitation; and the experience of teaching and learning ethics using AR.

The research population included all the students who undertook the module and the lecturers who facilitated the teaching sessions. The students were all qualified nurses. The researchers assumed therefore that all participants had a basic knowledge of theories of ethics. This purposive sampling covered two student cohorts from two geographical areas. Purposive sampling targets a group of people believed to be typical or average, or a group of people specially picked for some unique purpose. The total sample was 24 students and two lecturers.

emphasized that the students would not be disadvantaged in any way should they wish to withhold their addresses. The possibility of participating in the research had been discussed with the students before completing the formative evaluations at the end of the ethics teaching day. They were able to withdraw from the study by not completing their formative evaluations, by not giving their address or by not returning the postal questionnaire. Consent throughout the study was therefore implied, first through obtaining the students' postal addresses and second by the students returning their postal questionnaires.

Confidentiality

Confidentiality and anonymity were assured in all the letters and maintained throughout the research. Each questionnaire was assigned a research number; the ARs and formative evaluations were all anonymized throughout the module. The students' assignments were identifiable through their university number but the researcher was not able to correlate this with other data collected.

Findings and discussion

Owing to the small sample involved in this illuminative evaluation, it can be described only as a pilot study. Although the two cohorts were geographically diverse, the data did not demonstrate any differences between the groups; the results are therefore presented collectively. Descriptive statistics were adopted for the quantitative data. No inferential statistics were employed owing to the small sample size. Qualitative data from the formative evaluations and postal questionnaires from 21 of the original 24 students were systematically hand sorted and coded, which enabled emerging patterns and themes to be identified. Response to the postal questionnaire was 42% (10 responses) despite using strategies to encourage a high response rate. Certain aspects seem to have contributed significantly to the quality of the ethics learning experience.

In an illuminative evaluative study, curriculum intention and the learning milieu are both identified as significant areas for evaluation. ^{40,43} The students evaluated the curriculum intention positively in terms of achievement of the theoretical learning outcomes; however, only 15 assignments were available for reviewing at the time. T

Brown et al¹² state that a situated learning environment provides authentic activities that are ill defined and therefore the students need to find, as well as solve, their problems. It would appear that AR meets the criteria for an authentic activity in situated learning.

All (n=24) the students thought that their knowledge of ethics had increased, and, in the postal questionnaire response, this view was sustained after the course finished. Interestingly, in the student evaluations ARs did not feature highly as an effective learning strategy. It is possible that this was becausethe students considered their ARs more as a trigger to stimulate discussion of the day and therefore outside the learning process, rather than part of the learning process itself. The findings show that the students perceived the group discussions about the dilemmas to be the place where learning happened.

All nurses are encouraged to be reflective in and on actions throughout their training and professional life. This may account for the ease with which the students undertook the process of writing ARs. The anonymity of the students' reflections

develop these skills, although the whole module encouraged the development of critical thinking skills. This view was further endorsed by a student's response: 'I feel you cannot learn/understand ethical decision making in one session. It gave me an understanding of what is involved.'

Critical reflection is the ultimate skill in the development of ethical decision makers. However, measurement of this was outside the scope of this study. Consequently, the notion that AR, in conjunction with the use of guiding frameworks, enables critical thinking and reflection to come together to form critical reflection remains untested. Further research is needed to examine the impact of the AR process on the development of critical reflection.

Limitations

As with any small study it is questionable if the results are representative and reliable when compared with larger studies. Using a combined method of data collection and collecting data from both students and lecturers increases reliability. All the data demonstrated similar trends and patterns and thus the results were considered to be reliable. However, a response rate of 42% to the postal questionnaire was disappointing, and could have affected the reliability of the results.

As in all research where investigators carry out research into their own practice, bias remains a potential problem. The lecturers' enthusiasm to use AR in the ethics component of the teaching module may have introduced an element of bias in their own reflective accounts and their concept maps. However, in the light of the students' responses to the formative evaluations and the postal questionnaires, similar findings emerged to those identified by the lecturers. Evaluative data collection will continue from future cohorts of students.

Conclusion

Although the students thought that their ethical decision-making ability had improved, the collated evidence is subjective, suggesting that further research is required. However, the study's results gave the overall impression that AR is an exciting and relevant way of teaching and learning ethics. It is stimulating without too much theor-

References

- ¹ World Health Organization. Cancer pain relief and palliative ca@eneva: WHO, 2003.
- ² Quinn FM. Principles and practice of nurse educaţi**do**urth edition. Cheltenham: Stanley Thornes, 2000.
- ³ Erickson J. Putting ethics into education. Can Nurs 1993; 89: 18–20.
- ⁴ Cummings C. Tips for a successful ethics workshop. Nurs Staff Dev Insider1994; 3(4): 7–8.
- ⁵ Allmark P. Uncertainties in the teaching of ethics to students of nursing. J Adv Nurs 1995; 22: 374–78.
- ⁶ Dinç L, Görgülü RS. Teaching ethics in nursing.

³⁰ Herzog CJ, Lieble C, Arts and Science/School of Education A cooperative approach to the teaching of geographyProceedings of the National Conference on Successful College Teaching, Orlando, FL. 1994, Retrieved through the Education Resource Information Center ERIC Document Reproduction no. ED 390 470, 11 July, 2007, from: www.ERIC.ed.gov

Rinn FJ, Weir SB. Improving college and university teaching 984; 32(1): 5-10. Retrieved through the Education Resource Information Center ERIC Document Reproduction no. EJ

298 332, 12 September, 2007, from: www.ERIC.ed.gov

³² Hatcher T, Hinton B, Swartz J. Graduate students' perceptions of university team teaching. Coll Stud J1996; 30(3): 367-76.

³³ Nead MJ. A team taught business course.Bus Educ Forum1995;49(3): 33–35.

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permissio	n.